



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,622	05/14/2001	Kazuhiko Hayashi	NEC2370-US	7276
30743	7590 11/16/2004		EXAM	INER
	CURTIS & CHRIST	RENNER, CRAIG A		
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20190			2652	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

07

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/853,622	HAYASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Craig A. Renner	2652		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION II Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendrate condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	nis application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	- b)]
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date se event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT! 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	iling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 3 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding am 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed wit 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid di	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appear issues for appeal; and/or	al by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: for instance, the changes to claims 1 and 3.	
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation</li> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has b application in condition for allowance because:	een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be enterexplanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: 2	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4-21.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved or b)	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)
10. Other:	7
	N: 6 //
	Crafg A. Renner
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2652

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al. (US 6,490,139) has been overcome by the "DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 OF KAZUHIKO HAYASHI". Claim 2 therefore is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.